

United States Patent and Trademark Office



| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/922,412 | 08/03/2001 | Robert W. Cantwell | 5624.24-2 | 5624.24-2 7272 | |
| 23559 | 7590 09/02/2005 | | EXAM | INER | |
| MUNSCH, HARDT, KOPF & HARR, P.C. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DOCKET CLERK 1445 ROSS AVENUE, SUITE 4000 | | | ROBERTS, BRIAN S | | |
| | | | . ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | DALLAS, TX 75202-2790 | | 2662 | | |

DATE MAILED: 09/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/922,412 | CANTWELL, ROBERT W. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Brian Roberts | 2662 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ju 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | • | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | wn from consideration. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 26 July 2005 is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | ☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/922,412 Page 2

Art Unit: 2662

DETAILED ACTION

- Applicant's Amendment filed 07/26/2005 is acknowledged.
- The previous objection to the drawings is withdrawn.
- Claims 1-22 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6, 8-13, 16-19, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Russell et al. (US 6496519) in view of Baun et al. (US 6771673)
- 3. Russell et al. teaches in Figure 9, an Ethernet switch (904) receiving data from a plurality of Ethernet ports (claim 1, 2, 9, 10, 17, 18 Switch receiving data from Ethernet ports) connected to a synchronous terminal multiplexer (903). Russell et al. teaches the synchronous terminal multiplexer being a SONET multiplexer (column 7 lines 1-2) that uses time division multiplexing to multiplex the data into a serial data stream into a SONET optical signal for transport over an optical fiber communications link (claim 1, 4, 7, 9, 13, 15, 17, 21 multiplexer converts serial data stream into a SONET optical signal) (Figure 1, column 6 lines 5-13, column 7 lines 6-21). Russell et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/922,412

Art Unit: 2662

teaches an SDH or SONET (column 6 lines 48-64) payload mapper 204 for mapping of the Ethernet frames into one or more SDH or SONET payloads. (claim 3, 11, 17 – multiplex data from ports into a single SONET synchronous payload envelope) (column 7 lines 40-43)

Russell et al. does not teach using a switch to insert a unique port identifier in the header or the VID data field of a tagged MAC frame of the data from each port to identify the source port of the data. Russell et al. does not teach routing the data via the unique port identifier, a MAC address and IP address in the data. Russell et al. does not teach using a subscriber access multiplexer operable to receive the single serial data stream.

Baun et al. teaches "aggregating physical connections from customers presentation to an access router and de-aggregating traffic from a shared link(s) from the access router. Ports of an aggregation unit may be configured such that each has a unique identifier in the place of information (e.g., the layer 2 address) originally in the layer 2 header." (abstract) "When a packet is received from a customer, information in the layer 2 header is changed to a unique identifier assigned to a logical port or interface associated with the physical port. When a packet is received from the access router, it is placed on the port assigned to the logical port associated with the destination layer 2 address" (claim 1, 9, 15, 17, 21 – insert a unique port identifier in the header of the data from each port to identify the source port of the data). (Figure 13, abstract) Baun et al. teaches inserting the port identifier "in a form of an existing layer 2 (e.g., MAC) address or some other unique bits (or context information) in the place of,

Art Unit: 2662

or in addition to the layer 2 address." (claim 6, 12, 19 - insert the unique port identifier into the a VID data field of a tagged MAC frame) (column 15 lines 56-60, Figure 13)

Baun et al. teaches using the unique port identifier, a MAC address, and an IP address in the data to route the data. (claim 5, 7, 8, 14-16, 20-22 – route data via unique port identifier, a MAC address and IP address in the data) (Figure 30, column 19 lines 4-36)

Baun et al. further teaches the use of an ATM port switch for routing and a digital subscriber access multiplexer to inherently receive the data stream from another multiplexer. (column 7 lines 17-32) (claim 5, 7, 8, 14, 15, 20, 21 – subscriber access multiplexer operable to receive the single serial data stream from multiplexer)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the equipment and method of Russell et al. by using a switch to insert a unique port identifier in the header of the data frame, using a digital subscriber access multiplexer to receive the single serial data stream, and using the unique port identifier, a MAC address, and an IP address in the data to route the data. Inserting the unique port identifier and then using it along with the MAC address, and an IP address would allow for a more efficient use of bandwidth when transmitting data over a SONET having a plurality of frame based data customers and would allow data from the destination to be more efficiently routed through the network back to the source. Furthermore, it is known in the art for a subscriber access multiplexer to receive a single serial data stream consisting of multiplexed frame based data in a SONET/Ethernet environment.

Art Unit: 2662

4. Claims 5, 7 14, 15, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Russell et al. (US 6496519) in view of Baun et al. (US 6771673), as applied to the parent claims, and further in view of Hayward et al. (US 6222848)

The combination of Russell et al. and Baun et al. as discussed in section 4 above shows the limitations claimed except for Russell e al. and Baun et al. do not teach the complete method of using a subscriber access multiplexer at a SONET node to receive the serial data from the multiplexer at another SONET node and demultiplexing the serial data stream into data for each port.

Hayward et al. teaches receiving SONET payloads inherently using a subscriber access multiplexer transported over a SONET channel through optical fiber from SONET nodes at another SONET node (claim 5, 7, 14, 15, 20, 21 – subscriber access multiplex receiving serial data stream from multiplexer) (Figure 2, column 4 lines 22-40) and removing the Ethernet data packets from one or more SONET payloads inherently involving demultiplexing the payload envelope (claim 5, 7, 14, 15, 20, 21 – demultiplexing the serial data stream into data from each port) (column 4 lines 66-67, column 5 lines 1-5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to further modify the combination of Russell et al. and Baun et al. to include the method of using a subscriber access multiplexer at a SONET node for receive the serial data from the multiplexer at another SONET node and demultiplexing the serial data stream at the destination SONET node. This would have allowed for the framed based data transmitted over the SONET to be efficiently received at the destination

Art Unit: 2662

SONET node and demultiplexed from the SONET payload envelope so it could be switched to the appropriate port within the network.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed on 07/26/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
 - The Examiner assumes that the Applicant's argument is directed towards

 Independent claims 1, 9, and 17. In the Remarks on pg. 9, the Applicant
 contends that Russell et al. does not teach mapping frames from multiple
 ports into a single data stream for mapping into a frame of a synchronous link.
 Furthermore, the Applicant contends Russell et al. teaches away from
 inserting a unique port identifier in the head of the Ethernet frames and
 multiplexing the frames into a single stream.
 - The Examiner respectfully disagrees. It is the position of the Examiner that in Figure 9, Russell et al. teaches the Ethernet router (904) receiving data from a plurality of workstations, each inherently containing a port, over a plurality of 10 Mbits/s links and sending the data over a single data stream to a synchronous digital multiplexer (903) for transportation over the SDH network (900). Furthermore, it is the position of the Examiner that Russell et al. does not teach away from an Ethernet router being operable to insert a unique port identifier in the head of an Ethernet frame. The combination or Russell et al. and Baun et al. teaches a system where a unique port identifier can be

Application/Control Number: 09/922,412

Art Unit: 2662

inserted in the head of Ethernet frames at or before the Ethernet router (904) to identify the ports of the workstations. Inserting a unique port identifier does not contradict the system of Russell et al. where the synchronous digital

Page 7

Conclusion .

multiplexer (903) transmits the frames over the SDH network (900).

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure
 - Chaudhuri et al. (US 6862380) teaches an optical switch to that inserts the port id into the signal overhead before it is sent to the multiplexer.
- 7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/922,412

Art Unit: 2662

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Brian Roberts whose telephone number is (571) 272-

3095. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on (571) 272-3088. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BSR 08/30/2005

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 8